THERMODYNAMIC CALCULATION OF PHASE EQUILIBRIA OF THE Cu-Al-Mn ALLOYS

Dr. sc. Tamara Holjevac-Grgurić¹, docent, prof. dr. sc. Dragan Manasijević², van. prof., prof. dr. sc. Dragana Živković², red. prof., doc. dr. Ljubiša Balanović², docent, dr. sc. Stjepan Kožuh¹, izv. prof., dr. sc. Robert Pezer¹, izv. prof., mr. sc. Ivana Ivanić¹ Prof. dr. sc. Ivan Anžel³, prof. dr. sc. Borut Kosec⁴, dr. sc. Ladislav Vrsalović⁵, izv. prof. prof. dr. sc. Mirko Gojić¹

¹University of Zagreb, Faculty of Metallurgy Sisak, Croatia
²University of Belgrade, Technical Faculty Bor, Serbia
³University of Maribor, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Slovenia
⁴University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Engineering, Slovenia
⁵ University of Split, Faculty of Chemistry and Technology, Croatia

Keywords: Thermodynamic modeling, CALPHAD method, Cu-Al-Mn alloys

ABSTRACT

Cu-alloys have been intensively studied because of their high thermal conductivity, good electrical and shape memory properties and low cost. Cu-Al-Mn alloys show a higher ductility and elongation in relation to the other Cu-based alloys, as well as a good potential for a cold drawing. Therefore, the investigation of these alloys is still a challenge, especially from a thermodynamic point of view due to a lack of thermodynamic data of Cu-Al-Mn ternary system in the literature.

Thermodynamic calculation of phase diagrams of $Cu_{0.73}Mn_{0.10}$ -Al and $Cu_{0.70}Mn_{0.30}$ -Al vertical sections and isothermal sections at 25 and 400°C is presented in this work. Calculations were done using optimized thermodynamic parameters for binary sub-systems Cu-Al, Cu-Mn, Al-Mn and ternary system Cu-Al-Mn according to CALPHAD method and obtained results were discussed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Phase diagrams are very important for designing and development of materials with target functional properties as well as for prediction of microstructure and phase stability under specific conditions. Thermodynamic modeling offers valuable information for equilibrium thermodynamics, modeling of diffusion processes and grain growth, and represents the advantage according to expensive and time-consuming experimental investigations, especially for complex multicomponent metal systems [1-4]. Reliable thermodynamic databases, with optimized parameters, are crucial for thermodynamic calculations of Gibbs energy of all phases existing in investigated system and accuracy of calculated phase equilibria. CALPHAD method is based on the minimization of total Gibbs energy for a set of

independent variables, such as temperature, pressure and concentration [5-8]. Gibbs energy for any phase is given as a sum of different contributions:

$$G_{m}^{\phi} = G_{ref}^{\phi} + G_{id}^{\phi} + G_{E}^{\phi} + G_{p}^{\phi} + G_{m}^{\phi} + \dots \qquad \dots (1)$$

The contribution of mechanical mixing of pure components for substitutional solid solutions or liquid phase is expressed as:

$$G_{ref}^{\phi} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{0} G_i^{\phi} \qquad \dots (2)$$

Where ${}^{0}G_{i}^{\phi}$ is a Gibbs energy of the pure component i in the standard state. Temperature dependence is given by equation (3):

$$G = a + bT + cT\ln(T) + \sum d_i T^n \qquad \dots (3)$$

Contribution from ideal random mixing of the constituents is given by:

$$G_{id}^{\phi} = RT \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \ln(x_i)$$
 ...(4)

The excess Gibbs energy term is usually expressed using Muggianu extension of the Redlich-Kister formalism:

$$G_{E}^{\phi} = \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\i\neq j}}^{n} x_{i} x_{j} \sum_{z=0}^{m} {}^{z} L(x_{i} - x_{j})^{z} + \sum_{\substack{i,j,k=1\\i\neq j\neq k}}^{n} x_{i} x_{j} x_{k} L_{ijk} \qquad \dots (5)$$

where ${}^{z}L$ is temperature dependent interaction parameter between constituents.

Contribution to the Gibbs energy due to magnetic properties of the phase is given by following equation:

$$G_m^{\theta} = RT \ln \left(\beta^{\theta} + 1\right) f(\tau) \qquad \dots (6)$$

where β^{θ} is related to magnetic entropy and τ is given by T/T_c, where Tc is the critical temperature of magnetic ordering.

Thermodynamic descriptions of binary systems Cu-Al, Al-Mn and Cu-Mn are given in a number of references, but there is a lack of relevant experimental and optimized thermodynamic data for ternary Cu-Al-Mn alloy [9-13]. According to wide application of Cu-Al-Mn alloys in engineering, medicine, electronic devices, etc., it seems very interesting to analyze thermodynamic properties of this ternary system, especially in Cu-rich region and low content of aluminum, i.e. alloys with a low degree of order β -phase, which show a shape

memory effect and martensite structure by quenching as well as excellent ductility and cold workability [14-15].

2. THERMODYNAMIC CALCULATION

Thermodynamic calculations of phase equilibria were done by Thermo-Calc 5 software, on the basis of CALPHAD method. Calculations were done for alloys along two vertical sections $Cu_{0.73}Mn_{0.10}$ -Al and $Cu_{0.70}Mn_{0.30}$ -Al. Isothermal sections were determined for 25 and 400 °C.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The calculation of phase equilibria of the Cu-Al-Mn ternary system was performed on the base of the thermodynamic parameters of sub-systems Cu-Al, Cu-Mn, Al-Mn and ternary phase τ . Gibbs energies of pure metals in their stable phases were taken from Dinsdale SGTE database [16], except for Cu in the cbcc and cub phases. Gibbs energies for Cu in cbcc and cub phases were assumed as those of pure nickel [17]. Pure copper and aluminum was taken as fcc phase structure and pure manganese as a cbcc phase structure in the standard state. Optimized thermodynamic parameters due to experimental measurements, for ternary phase were taken from Miettinen [17].

Phases in Cu-Al-Mn system that are considered for calculation are given in Table 1.

Phase	TD database name	Pearson symbol
Liquid	L	-
fcc (Cu)	FCC_A1	cF4
β	BCC_A2≠1	cI2
γ	GAMMA	cF4
cub (\beta Mn)	CUB_A13	cP20
cbcc (aMn)	CBCC_A12	cI58
τ_3	Cu ₃ Mn ₂ Al	cF24

Table 1. Phases in Cu-Al-Mn system taken in calculation

Disordered solution phases were described with the substitutional solution model, while the ternary phase, τ_3 , Cu₃Mn₂Al, was described with the sublattice model. Contribution of magnetic ordering was also taken in calculation of Gibbs energy for Cu-Al-Mn system, according to equation (6).



on 2016.02.28:14.58

output by user gost

a)



b)

Phase stability diagram of the Cu_{0.73}Mn_{0.10}-Al section is given at the Figures 1. a) and b). TCW diagram at Figures 1. a) shows primary crystallization at the aluminium content 0.17 Al: L + bcc. At the lower content of Al there is a second area of primary crystallization: L+fcc. β -phase in Cu-Al-Mn system could forms two different superstructures cP2 (β) and cF16 (β ''), Heusler phase. Beside these superstructures, there are also known three intermetallic phases that exist in Cu-Al-Mn ternary system [6]. From Figures 1. a) and b) it could be seen the intermetallic phase, τ_3 , Cu₃Mn₂Al, which exists in Cu-rich region of Cu-Al-Mn alloy. τ_3 phase in Cu_{0.73}Mn_{0.10}Al_{0.17} alloy solidify at temperature 475 °C and fcc at temperature 790 °C. β -phase is stable from 976 °C to 423 °C, while at the room temperature stable phases are fcc and Cu₃Mn₂Al phase.

The invariant reaction bcc \leftrightarrow fcc + γ + τ_3 occurs at the temperature 392 °C for higher content of aluminum (> 0.18). β -phase undergoes to order-disorder transition: A2 (bcc β) \rightarrow B2 (CuAl) \rightarrow DO3 (Cu₂Al) or L21 (in CuAlMn). Decomposition of β -phase can be suppressed by rapid quenching and in that case martensitic transformation occurs below Ms temperature. Calculated diagram for Cu-Al-Mn system for xMn =0.30 in the Cu-rich region, is shown in Figure 2. It can be seen three invariant reactions containing τ_3 phase: at 534 °C, 454 °C and at 392 °C, what is in agreement with calculations presented in the literature [6,17,18]. Phase stability at 25 °C and 400 °C can be seen from isothermal calculations of Cu-Al-Mn system

given at Figures 3. and 4.



Figure 2. Calculated isopleth in Cu-rich region at $x_{Mn} = 0.30$



Figure 3. Isothermal section at 400°C in the Cu-rich region of the Cu-Al-Mn ternary system



Figure 4. Isothermal section at 25°C in the Cu-rich region of the Cu-Al-Mn ternary system

4. CONCLUSION

Thermodynamic calculation of phase equilibria of the Cu-Al-Mn alloys was performed by CALPHAD method. Calculations were done for different sections in the Cu-rich corner. Calculations point to stability of intermetallic phase τ_3 , Cu₃Mn₂Al, in the investigated range of compositions, which is stable under 550 °C. In calculations it was assumed as a stoichiometric ternary phase, and it was described by sublattice model. Invariant reactions containing ternary τ_3 phase, were found at temperatures 534°C, 454°C and at 392°C for vertical section of Cu-Al-Mn system with $x_{Mn} = 0.30$.

Acknowledgements

This work has been fully supported by Croatian Science Foundation under the project IP-2014-09-3405.

5. REFERENCES

[1] Kroupa, A.: Computational Materials Science, 66 (2013.) 3-13.,

[2] Zhao, J. C.: Methods for Phase Diagram Determination, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2007.,

[3] Belov N. A., Khvan A.V.: Acta Materialia, 55 (2007) 5473-5482.,

[4] Miettinen, J.: CALPHAD, 25 (2001) 43-58.,

[5] Miettinen, J.: CALPHAD, 26 (2002) 119-39.,

[6] Lucas, H.: Critical evaluation of ternary systems, Vol 3, Effenberg, EU Communities, Belgium, 1998., 51-80.,

[7] Ragone, D. V.: Thermodynamics of Materials, John Wiley & Sons, USA, 1995.,

[8] Živković D., Holjevac-Grgurić T., Gojić M., Ćubela D., Stanojević-Šimšić Z., et. all,: Trans. Indian Inst. Met., **67** (2014), 2, 285-289.,

[9] Miettinen, J.: Metall. Mater. Trans. A, 33A (2002) 1639-1648.,

[10] Ansara I., Dinsdale A. T., Rand M. H.: COST 507-Thermomechanical database for light metal alloys, Vol 2, EC, Belgium, 1998.,

[11] Vrestal J., Štepankova J., Broz B., Scand. J.: Metall, 25 (1996) 224-231.,

[12] Gocken, N. A.: Journal of Phase Equilibria, 14 (1993) 1, 76-83.,

[13] Liu X. J., Ohnuma I., Kainuma R., Ishida K.: Journal of Phase Equilibria, 20 (1999) 1, 45-56.,

[14] Wang Q., Cui C., Wang Q., Yan N.: Mat. Lett., 65 (2011) 2735-2738.,

[15] Lojen G., Gojić M., Anžel I.: J. Alloys Comp., 580 (2013) 497-505.,

[16] SGTE Data for Pure Elements, A. T. Dinsdale, Teddington, Middlesex, UK,

[17] Miettinen, J.: CALPHAD, 27 (2003) 1, 103-114.,

[18] Kainuma R., Satoh N., Liu X.: J. Alloys Compounds, 266 (1998) 191-200.